

Southeast Florida Regional Partnership

Indian River - St. Lucie - Martin
Palm Beach - Broward - Miami-Dade - Monroe

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Friday, March 1st, 2013
10:15 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

LOCATION

Broward Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
8th Floor Conference Room
100 West Cypress Creek Road, Suite 850
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309

To participate by Webinar, please reserve your Webinar seat at:

<https://www3.gotomeeting.com/register/313942822>

Space is limited

MEETING AGENDA

1. Welcome and Pledge of Allegiance – Mayor Sam Ferreri, Chair
2. Roll Call
3. Agenda. *Action: Approval*
4. Minutes of the November 30th 2012 Meeting. *Action: Approval*
5. Minutes of the February 1st 2013 Work Shop. *Action: Approval*
6. Draft Action Plan #4. Authorization to work out details with Chair of Executive Committee. Marcela Camblor-Cutsaimanis. *Action: Approval*
7. Work Plan Task Evaluation. Marcela Camblor-Cutsaimanis, Project Director. *Action: Approval*
8. Work Group Structure and Schedule Update. Marcela Camblor-Cutsaimanis, Project Director. *Action: Discussion*
9. Regional Values. Marcela Camblor-Cutsaimanis, Project Director and Carla Coleman, Executive Director ULI and EC member. *Action: Discussion*

10. Outreach Strategy Update. Marcela Camblor-Cutsaimanis, Project Director. *Action: Discussion*
11. Financial Update. Marcela Camblor-Cutsaimanis, Project Director. *Action: Information Only*
12. Partnership Update – Isabel Cosio Carballo, Partnership Coordinator. *Action: Information Only*
13. Announcements
14. Old/New Business
15. Public Comment
16. Adjourn

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee

AGENDA ITEM #4

From: SEFRP Staff

Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting

Subject: Minutes of the November 30th Meeting

The Southeast Florida Regional Partnership's (Partnership) Executive Committee met at the offices of the Broward County Metropolitan Planning Organization located at 100 West Cypress Creek Road, Suite 850, in Fort Lauderdale.

Meeting Attendees:

The meeting was called to order at 10:20 a.m. and adjourned at 12:03 p.m. In attendance were (in alphabetical order):

- Mr. Art Cobb (via webinar)
- Mr. Doug Bournique
- Commissioner Heather Carruthers (via webinar)
- Ms. Carla Coleman
- Ms. Sara Fain
- Commissioner Ed Fielding
- Mr. Kevin Foley
- Commissioner Suzanne Gunzburger
- Ms. Gepsie Metellus
- Commissioner Peter O'Bryan
- Ms. Susan O'Rourke
- District Secretary VI Gus Pego (vía webinar)
- Ms. Kelly Smallridge
- Mr. Norm Taylor
- Mr. Carlos Vidueira
- District Secretary IV James Wolfe
- Mr. Barrington Wright

Excused Absence

- Mr. Doug Bartel
- Mayor Samuel Ferreri
- Commissioner Ed Fielding
- Mr. Dennis Gallon
- Honorable Kathryn Hensley
- Ms. Karen Marcus
- Mr. Ed Massey
- Commissioner Jean Monestime
- Mr. Jack Osterholt
- Honorable Raquel Regalado
- Mr. Steve Sauls
- Mr. Michael Spring
- Mr. Edwin Swift
- Mr. Ramon Trias

The acting chair, Commissioner Suzanne Gunzburger, called the Executive Committee meeting to order at 10:20 a.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Eric Swanson of staff called the roll and determined a quorum was present when including webinar attendees. Following the quorum determination, a discussion occurred over retiring Palm Beach County Commissioner Karen Marcus and her participation in the Executive Committee. Members were supportive of Ms. Marcus's on-going participation and determined that it was incumbent on Ms. Marcus to notify and discuss active representation on the Executive Committee with the Palm Beach County Board of Commissioners. Following the discussion, a motion was offered by Ms. Smallridge and seconded by Mr. Foley to approve the agenda. The motion carried unanimously. Following a motion was offered by Ms. Coleman and seconded by Commissioner O'Bryan, to approve the September 28th meeting minutes with an amendment to limit the size of the Executive Committee to thirty-six (36) members. The motion carried unanimously.

Following the approval of the meeting minutes, a discussion occurred over absences by members of the Executive Committee members and the need to implement an absentee rule. There was a consensus by the Board to have staff formally advise all members of the absentee rule. A motion was offered by Mr. Foley and seconded by Ms. Coleman, to formally remove committee members after three (3) absences in one calendar year. The motion carried unanimously.

Following the absentee rule motion, the committee reviewed two category-specific vacancies (Business Development [Slot #26] and Bio Science/Research [Slot #29]) to the Executive Committee. During the review, a motion to fill the business development slot and vote for one candidate at a time and maintain vacancy of the bio-science/research slot was offered by Mr. Wright and seconded by Commissioner Carruthers. A substitute motion to rename the bio-science/research slot to Energy was offered by Ms. Coleman and seconded by Mr. Foley,. The substitute motion passed with one nay from Ms. Fain. Following the approval of the substitute motion, Mr. Don Kiselewski (Florida Power & Light) and Mr. David Armstrong (Broward College) were selected to fill the remaining vacancies of Slot #26 & Slot #29, respectively.

New Executive Committee Members:

- Mr. David Armstrong
President, Broward College
Slot #26: Business Development
- Mr. Don Kiselewski
Director, External Affairs
Florida Power & Light
Slot #29: Energy

Following the membership appointment motion, members of the Executive Committee reviewed proposed meeting schedules for 2013 and considered available options for meeting locations. A motion to change the meeting schedule from the third to the first Friday of the month (every other month, beginning in March 2013) and maintain the current meeting location at the offices

of the Broward County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) was offered by Mr. Foley and seconded by Mr. Wright.

Meeting Schedule for 2013:

- Thursday, January 24th (Breakfast Meeting before Summit #2)
- Friday, March 1st
- Friday, May 3rd
- Friday, July 12th
- Friday, September 6th
- Friday, November 1st

Following the 2013 meeting schedule motion, Ms. Camblor-Cutsaimanis gave an update on the Work Group Road Show with a review of undertakings and accomplishments throughout the seven county work group meetings. Ms. Camblor-Cutsaimanis detailed the public input process of the work groups to allow for expanded participation at the local level, as well as an opportunity for each of the Counties to vet input and priorities outlined during the Opening Summit and to 1) discuss whether regional priorities applied to individual Counties; 2) a need to rearrange priorities; 3) propose local issues that could benefit at the regional level; and, 4) discuss implementation policies and strategies for each of these priorities. Ms. Camblor-Cutsaimanis also gave an update on the second regional Summit, scheduled for January 24th in Downtown Miami (Wolfson Campus, Miami-Dade College), which marks the shift from an predominantly input-gathering phase to a plan development phase. Input was gathered by committee members on events, speakers, 2060 future trends scenarios, and the regional values polling anticipated during the Summit.

Following the Work Group Road Show & Second Summit Update, Dr. Delaney gave a presentation on the Sustainable Communities Leadership Academy, which focused on various transportation-related challenges and was attended by a delegation from the Southeast Florida Regional Partnership. Dr. Delaney discussed the development of the proposed “Southeast Florida Passenger Rail Coordination Framework” with specifically defined roles for the various parties (SFRTA, FDOT, SEFTC, PBC MPO, TCRPC & SFRPC) involved during each stage of passenger rail development and implementation.

Following the Passenger Rail Coordination Framework presentation, committee members debated the term “equity” and how it was applied in different instances of Seven50, particularly in the work group “Inclusive Regional Leadership and Equity.” Many members felt that the term “Equity” should be replaced by the term “Opportunity,” or removed all together because it is often misunderstood or exploited out of context. Others felt the term should remain as the definition adopted by the Committee. A motion to change the name of the work group from “Inclusive Regional Leadership and Equity” to “Inclusive Regional Leadership” was offered by Ms. Coleman and seconded by Mr. Foley. The motion carried unanimously.

Following was a financial update and information was provided that reflected detailed expenses for both Councils and the Consultant. Through review of the monitoring chart, it was noted that under the current scenario, the Seven50 plan is anticipated to be completed within budget. Following the financial update, partnership updates occurred and were given by Ms. Cosio

Carballo and several members of the Executive Committee, with planned events through their respective agencies and places of employment.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:20 p.m.

Agendas and other material mentioned above are available on the Southeast Florida Regional Partnership website (www.seven50.org).

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee
From: SEFRP Staff
Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting
Subject: Minutes of the February 1st Meeting

AGENDA ITEM #5

The Southeast Florida Regional Partnership's (Partnership) Executive Committee met at the offices of the Broward County Metropolitan Planning Organization located at 100 West Cypress Creek Road, Suite 850, in Fort Lauderdale.

Meeting Attendees:

The meeting was called to order at 9:40 a.m. and adjourned at 12:38 p.m. In attendance were (in alphabetical order):

- Mr. David Armstrong
- Mr. Doug Bournique
- Commissioner Heather Carruthers (via webinar)
- Ms. Carla Coleman
- Commissioner Chris Dzadovsky (via webinar)
- Mayor Samuel Ferreri
- Commissioner Ed Fielding
- Mr. Dennis Gallon
- Commissioner Suzanne Gunzburger
- Honorable Kathryn Hensley
- Mr. Sean McCrackine (via video conference on behalf of Commissioner Jean Monestime)
- Commissioner Peter O'Bryan (via webinar)
- Ms. Susan O'Rourke
- Mr. Phil Steinmiller (via video conference for District Secretary VI Gus Pego)
- Mr. William Perry
- Honorable Raquel Regalado
- Mr. Steve Sauls
- Mr. Michael Spring
- Mr. Norm Taylor
- Mr. Carlos Vidueira
- District Secretary IV James Wolfe
- Mr. Barrington Wright

Excused Absence

- Mr. Doug Bartel
- Mr. Art Cobb
- Ms. Sara Fain
- Mr. Kevin Foley
- Mr. Don Kiselewski
- Ms. Karen Marcus
- Mr. Ed Massey
- Mr. Jack Osterholt
- Ms. Kelly Smallridge
- Mr. Edwin Swift
- Mr. Ramon Trias

The Chair, Mayor Ferreri, called the Executive Committee workshop to order at 9:40 a.m. Mr. Eric Swanson of staff called the roll and determined a quorum was present. The Chair led the Pledge of Allegiance. Following the Pledge, Ms. Camblor-Cutsaimanis began the special workshop with the state of the Seven50 Project and an overview of events that led to the Executive Committee deciding to hold the special workshop. She also framed the meeting's in-depth discussion and analysis of Seven50 with: 1) reviewing the status of deliverables and schedule; 2) identified items that remain to be done; 3) determined items originally envisioned that may no longer be feasible or necessary; and, 4) established goals that need to be achieved, as determined by the Executive Committee prior to the next Summit.

The categories listed below serve as a guide to the discussion of the Executive Committee workshop.

Summit II Evaluation

Seven50's Second Summit "A Look Ahead: Trends and Opportunities" was held on January 24, 2012, and approximately 750 people registered for the Opening Reception and Summit events. Speakers included members of the Executive Committee; elected officials; leaders from the transportation, education, economic development and environment sectors; and nationally recognized architect and town planners, who presented a future trends scenario of Southeast Florida. Public input was received throughout the day through one-word cards, input onto an enormous map of the region, keyshot kiosks and general polling.

Jason King, Seven50 Program Manager from Dover Kohl & Associates, presented an update on the Second Summit and reviewed the Regional Values Survey, which was developed using the results of previous surveys and integrated regional indicators and values. Mr. King stated that the surveys were being posted online, every three weeks, and addressed general regional values throughout both Summits as well as during the Work Group Road Show. Following the review of the Regional Values Survey results, Mr. King proposed additional methods to conduct an assessment of the survey and ensure a high level of objectivity. It was noted that the consultant team will be available to provide an update on the Future Trends scenario should the Executive Committee find it necessary or appropriate.

Executive Committee members discussed the content, speakers and outcome of the Summit, as well as suggestions and comments for the third Summit. Comments included a need to: 1) have more of an opportunity to provide input; 2) broaden engagement and collaboration with young and underrepresented people, especially those that are skeptical of this regional planning process; 3) workshops prior to the Summit to help formulate opinions and balance issues on modeling and trend scenarios; 3) frame Seven50 as a business plan that establishes a set of regional priorities for state and federal levels; 4) advocate for a regional dialogue that is focused on solutions and advocacy; 5) avoid details at the local level to prevent fracturing of the process; and 6) create linkages between the Executive Committee, the Work Groups and the newly created Technical Resource Group (TRG), in order to provide ample analysis for the decision making process and data that is presented to the general public.

Updated Timeline

Staff presented an updated timeline that reflected HUD's grant close-out requirements and a modified schedule of specific tasks. Discussions included clarifications on data warehousing and management after the commencement of the contract; the transition of the Seven50 Partnership past the availability of HUD funds; and that all invoicing needs to be submitted by January 2014, 30 days prior to the commencement of the contract. The due date for the Seven50 Plan is February 21, 2014.

The was an update by Ms. Coleman on the Urban Land Institute (ULI) Best Practices Report currently underway by it's Regional Leadership Committee, tasked with reviewing various attributes within other nationwide regional models that can be applied to Southeast Florida. The Report will review the top three models (narrowed down from five) to develop strategies aimed at implementing the Partnership well beyond the commencement of the HUD grant, which will be accomplished by June 1, 2013.

Work Plan/Task Evaluation

As Seven50 evolves and transitions into its plan development phase, certain tasks initially envisioned as goals and intents of the HUD-approved Work Plan were strategically reviewed by the Executive Committee in order to: 1) eliminate tasks that are unnecessary to the creation of the overall plan; 2) fund tasks that are currently underfunded and are critical to the completion of the plan; and, 3) re-focus certain tasks to better address project needs. The Executive Committee reviewed the updated Work Plan and Budget; Task 2.5 Regional Housing Assessment; Task 2.6 Virtual Present; Task 2.7 Existing Conditions Analysis; and Core Deliverables required by HUD.

Work Group Engagement and Schedule

Executive Committee members reviewed background information, staffs' assessments, proposed next steps, and held discussions on enhancing the proposed Work Group schedule and overall strategy. The next steps include: 1) continuing to populate the Work Groups with representatives from the Consortium (in addition to existing members); and, 2) conducting three work group meetings by the third Summit. The three Work Group meetings will be held between the last week of February and the first week in March 2013; in May 2013; and tentatively in June 2013.

Discussions revolved around expanding outreach and having committee members interface with their communities at every possible level. During discussion, a motion was offered by Ms.

Coleman and seconded by Mr. Sauls, to create an Outreach Subcommittee responsible for developing an outreach strategy with Partnership Coordinators, Ms. Cosio Carballo and Ms. Delaney. The intent of the Subcommittee will be to focus on the importance of the Seven50 and engage skeptical individuals throughout the Region in order to gather additional input, positive or not. The motion carried unanimously. There was a general consensus that the Outreach Subcommittee will have at least one member from each of the seven counties and that staff will formulate talking points and an outreach presentation for members to utilize when engaging their communities. Executive Committee members that volunteered included: 1) Mr. Barrington Wright; 2) Mr. Steve Sauls; 3) Ms. Heather Carruthers; and 4) Ms. Raquel Regalado.

Technical Advisory Committee

At the request and initiative of FDOT, a Technical Resource Group (TRG) would be available to the team and work groups on an as-needed basis. The Committee's purpose is to bring staff, particularly from agencies, with data and technical expertise across the issue areas (transportation, water, etc.) to help support the work groups and project team as the alternative future scenarios are developed. This Committee is also intended to assist strengthening relationships between agencies in keeping with the opportunities presented by Seven50, as well as contribute to integrated issues and efforts underway.

Members of the TRG were initially identified as experts on specific matters, as well as through the incorporation of Consortium and Partnership members who expressed interest in participating. Executive Committee members reviewed the TRG group.

The special workshop ended at 12:30 p.m.

Agendas and other material mentioned above are available on the Southeast Florida Regional Partnership website (www.seven50.org).

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee AGENDA ITEM #6
From: SEFRP Staff
Date: March 1st, 2013 Meeting
Subject: Action Plan #4

Introduction

Summit # 3 will focus on the presentation and evaluation of alternate scenarios for the future of the region. Subtasks 5.5 *Create Alternate Future Scenarios*, and 5.6 *Evaluate Model Scenarios, Present Findings*, outline the work to be performed and compensation estimated to accomplish the goal anticipated for the third Summit.

Action

Staff has been working closely with the Consultant to comply with all work plan tasks within the approved timeline. In order to stay on schedule, staff is seeking preliminary approval of the draft action plan attached to allow the consultant to commence work activities as outlined in several subtasks outlined in Task 5.

Recommendation

Approve Action Plan #4 (**Attachment A**) conceptually and authorize staff to work out any pending details with the Chair of the Executive Committee.

Attachment.

ATTACHMENT A

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL VISION AND BLUEPRINT FOR ECONOMIC PROSPERITY *ALSO KNOWN AS* “SEVEN50”

ACTION PLAN #4 TASK 5: **SUBTASKS 5.4-5.8, AND 5.10-5.12**

THIS ACTION PLAN #3 dated this _____ day of _____, 2013, supplements and is considered by all parties to be part of the approach for the creation of the Southeast Florida Regional Vision and Blueprint for Economic Prosperity also referred to as Seven50. This document identifies and describes the specific tasks outlined in the Consultant Agreement in greater detail, identifies the roles of different members and the deliverables from each task, and the financial budgets for each task. Some tasks will be performed by paid consultants and some tasks will be provided through ‘in-kind’ services provided by Partnership members.

Participants in this action plan include, but are not limited to:
The “Client” that consists of:

The South Florida Regional Planning Council,
3440 Hollywood Boulevard, Suite 140, Hollywood, Florida 33021, and

The Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council,
421 SW Camden Avenue, Stuart, FL 34994

The “Consultant” or “Consultant Team” or “the Dover, Kohl & Partners team” that consists of:

Dover, Kohl & Partners,
1571 Sunset Drive, Coral Gables, FL 33143

Duany, Plater-Zyberk & Company
1023 S.W. 25th Avenue, Miami, FL 33135

Criterion Planners
725 NW Flanders St. Suite 303, Portland, OR 97209-3539

Cardno ENTRIX
3460 Fairlane Farms Road, Suite 8, Wellington, FL 33414

Hall Planning & Engineering, Inc.
322 Beard Street, Tallahassee, FL 32303

HDR, Inc.

2202 N Westshore Drive, Suite 250, Tampa, FL 33607-5711

Rutgers Center for Urban Policy Research (CUPR)
33 Livingston Ave., Suite 400, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Emerge Consulting Corporation, Clarence Anthony
1665 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd Suite 520, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Spikowski Planning Associates
1617 Hendry St., Suite 416, Ft. Myers, FL 33901

Gorman & Company, Inc.
200 N. Main Street, Oregon, WI 53575

The “Consortium Members” added to the work tasks and considered part of the consultant team includes:

Roar Media, Inc.
150 Alhambra Circle, Suite 725, Coral Gables, FL 33134

Carras Community Investment, Inc.
330 SW 2nd Street, Suite 212, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312

SLC, LLC.
399 Paloma Avenue, Boca Raton, FL 33486

PHASE 1C: PLAN DEVELOPMENT (Partial)

Parts of TASK 5: ENHANCE REGIONAL LEADERSHIP & TECHNICAL CAPACITY

Scope of Work:

Parts of Task 5 not included below have been included in Action Plan #2. Task 5 includes the development of a unified Regional Vision with economic prosperity as the unifying goal. A broad-based understanding of the consequences of continuing on the same trend path as a region will be developed along with the development of alternative futures and the policy changes that would be required to achieve such futures. Technically supported analysis of the consequences of future decisions will be illustrated.

The Task 5 items that are listed below are included in this Action Plan, and are further described in detail in the pages that follow:

- Task 5.4 Compile and Analyze Workshop Results
- Task 5.5 Create Alternative Future Scenarios
- Task 5.6 Evaluate Model Scenarios, Present Findings
- Task 5.7 Outreach Program and Regional Dialogue
- Task 5.8 Choose and Model Preferred Scenario
- Task 5.10 Create Vision and Economic Blueprint
- Task 5.11 Develop 5-year Implementation Plan

- Task 5.12 Identify Paths to Implementation (funding)

Summary of Resources for Task 5:

In addition to in-kind contributions from Consortium Members, the following funds are available from the grant from HUD for these Task 5 sub-tasks are intended to be:

\$ 445,262.00 Total for Consultant Team

Individual task funding may be adjusted by the Executive Committee of the Partnership from time to time. Fees paid to Consultants shall follow the overall project budget.

Task 5.4 Compile and Analyze Workshop Results

Following the public workshops, the Client/Consultant Team will compile and analyze workshop results in preparation for development of alternative future scenarios. Additional information will be gathered from the interactive website. The compiled workshop results will be presented in a booklet available through the project website.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Roar Media, Inc.

Task 5.5 Create Alternative Future Scenarios

A maximum of two alternative future scenarios plus the trend future scenario will be modeled based on the outputs from the workshops, interactive online web site, and based on the information generated during the project. The Client/Consultant Team shall provide INDEX specifications for defining and characterizing scenario land-uses and transportation features, i.e. the INDEX palette.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Duany, Plater-Zyberk & Company
- Criterion
- Hall, Planning and Engineering, Inc.
- HDR, Inc
- Rutgers University

Task 5.6 Evaluate Model Scenarios, Present Findings

Once the alternative futures scenarios have been modeled, the Client/Consultant Team shall evaluate each scenario to ensure they address major issue areas, regional challenges, input from regional workshops, and regional values. Each model will be evaluated on their projected outcomes for addressing the Regional Values and how they rate on the Regional Scorecard. The evaluations findings of the trend and alternative models will be compared and presented to the Summit and community. Results will be widely to begin a regional discussion about options for future development.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Duany, Plater-Zyberk & Company
- Cardno Entrix
- Hall, Planning and Engineering, Inc.
- HDR, Inc
- Rutgers University

Task 5.7 Outreach Program and Regional Dialogue

This task is the responsibility of the Client. The Consultant Team will not have an active role in this task.

Task 5.8 Choose and Model Preferred Scenario

The Client/Consultant Team will participate in one of the regional summits to define a Preferred Scenario and draft Vision based on a selected or combined alternative future. The Preferred Scenario will be modeled in greater detail to ensure its applicability down to the community level.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Duany, Plater-Zyberk & Company
- Criterion
- HDR, Inc
- Rutgers University

Task 5.10 Create Vision and Economic Blueprint

The Regional Vision and Economic Blueprint Framework draft report shall become the Regional Vision and Economic Blueprint Plan. The Client/Consultant Team will work to create partnership work groups to identify regional opportunities and challenges and refine the Framework.

The Consultant will conduct up to three interviews or interactive workshops with consulates, chambers of commerce or other representatives of Southeast Florida's three largest trade partners or merchandise export destinations in order to identify obstacles to trade, economic prosperity, investment in the region. The purpose of the interviews or workshops is to elicit solutions to the reduction of these obstacles or barriers to trade and investment with the trade partners. The Consultant will conduct up to five interviews or interactive workshops with representatives of the three international airports and two largest sea ports in the Region to identify barriers to freight and passenger operations and identify ways to improve environmental and economic sustainability in their operations, as well as improved multi-modal connections.

The Client/Consultant Team will create Plan Elements which integrate the Vision into a regional policy framework to address each of the major issue areas, including economic development, housing, transportation, water, environment, climate resiliency, community assets/culture, education, healthy communities, and inclusive regional leadership.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Duany, Plater-Zyberk & Company

- Criterion
- Cardno Entrix
- Hall, Planning and Engineering, Inc.
- HDR, Inc
- Rutgers University

Task 5.11 Develop 5-year Implementation Plan

The Client will play an integral role in the development of strategies to integrate each of the Plan elements into local and state planning structures. This Implementation Plan will be incorporated into the Blueprint Plan document.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Duany, Plater-Zyberk & Company
- Criterion
- Cardno Entrix
- Hall, Planning and Engineering, Inc.
- HDR, Inc
- Rutgers University
- Emerge Consulting, Clarence Anthony
- Goreman & Associates
- Carras Community Investment, Inc.
- SLC, LLC
- Roar Media, Inc.

Task 5.12 Identify Paths to Implementation (funding)

The Client/Consultant Team will work with the Consortium to prepare an Implementation Matrix that shows regional projects to be implemented in the short, medium, and long terms. This matrix should include potential funding sources. This work will be incorporated into the Blueprint Plan document.

Consultant Team for this task will include:

- Dover, Kohl & Partners
- Cardno Entrix
- Rutgers University
- Goreman & Associates
- Carras Community Investment, Inc.
- SLC, LLC
- Roar Media, Inc.
- Spikowski Planning Associates

TASK 5: DELIVERABLES

1. Regional Workshops and Training
2. Alternative Future Scenarios
3. Evaluation of Scenarios using Regional Scorecard

4. Preferred Scenario
5. Regional Vision and Economic Blueprint Framework (draft plan)
6. Regional Vision and Economic Blueprint Plan
7. Five-year Implementation Plan (to be included in the Blueprint Plan document)
8. Implementation Matrix that includes needed projects, partners and paths to implementation(to be included in the Blueprint Plan document)

**Specific deliverables are subject to change based on changes to the Scope and Budget*

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee AGENDA ITEM #7

From: SEFRP Staff

Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting

Subject: Work Plan Task Evaluation

Introduction

The Seven50 work plan and budget were created to apply for the Sustainable Communities Initiative Grant in 2009/2010. This initial budget and its corresponding work plan assumed a grant in the amount of \$5,000,000.00. Instead, a grant in the amount of \$4,250,000.00 was awarded. While the budget was adjusted to reflect the amount of the Grant awarded, the approved work plan was never modified to reflect the reduction in funds secured.

The HUD-approved budget in the amount of \$4,250,000.00 was amended by the Executive Committee in April of 2012. This amendment focused primarily on management re-structuring and in the re-allocation and distribution of funds associated with Tasks to be performed by staffs of both Regional Planning Councils.

In October of 2011, South Florida Regional Planning Council (SFRPC) as the grant's fiscal agent, entered into a contract with Dover Kohl & Partners, the Master Consultant, in the amount of \$2,430,000.00. The contract addressed all tasks outlined in the originally approved HUD work plan.

To ensure maximum flexibility and safeguard from unforeseen events and expenses throughout the duration of the Grant, the contract with the Master Consultant was structured in two phases: A first phase to perform Tasks 2 through 5 which was allocated \$1,68,500.00 and a second phase to perform Task 6 and demonstration projects allocated \$745,500.00.

In June of 2012 the Executive Committee approved a contract with the Climate Compact in the amount of \$150,000.00 to perform a task necessary to the completion of Seven50, but unforeseen in the initial work plan. These funds were earmarked from Phase II funds by the Executive Committee.

A number of deliverables (Phase II requirement) that constitute demonstration projects not initially envisioned as such have been created as a result of the execution of Phase I (e.g. a seven-county transportation model, an interactive regional data warehouse, a seven-county

climate resilience element, a regional directory and communication system, a fully interactive website with an interactive digital map for Asset Mapping).

Over the course of the work, it has become evident that certain tasks were not sufficiently budgeted. On February 1st 2013, the Executive Committee held a Workshop to, among other issues, determine actual needs and appropriate funding to complete work critical to the development of Seven50. As a result, certain tasks were determined unnecessary and others, were identified as lacking funding per the amounts budgeted in Phase I (see workshop minutes).

In order to complete the tasks outlined in Phase I, the following funds from Phase II would have to be “released” and made available as follows:

Task	Original Budget Amount (Phase I)	Actual Cost to Perform Task (Phase I)	Amount adjusted from Phase 1 tasks	Shortfall (Phase I)	Phase II Funds \$745,500.00
Climate Resilience Element	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00* ¹
Task 2.7 Existing Conditions Analysis and Modeling	\$47,940	\$169,904.50	\$73,664.50	\$48,300	\$48,300* ² (Attachment B)
Task 2.8 Trend Future	\$47,940	\$127,180.00	\$16,646.00	\$62,594	\$62,594* ²
Task 4.2 Asset Mapping	\$32,437.50 (\$7,437.50 for Consultant)	\$19,937.50	\$0.00	\$12,500	\$12,500* ³
TOTAL				\$273,394	\$472,106 ⁴

*1 Expenditure approved by Executive Committee

*2 Expenditure discussed during workshop. Amount includes charges incurred due to change in model, modeling Monroe County, updating 2010 and 2040 socioeconomic data

*3 Amount to be added if Executive Committee approves interactive asset mapping as a deliverable.

*4 Amount reflects funds remaining if all expenses are covered with Phase II funds.

Action

Staff is seeking approval to proceed with budget amendment and reallocate funds from Phase II in the amount of \$273,394.00 to complete Phase I as outlined above.

Attachments.

ATTACHMENT B

Task 2.6 Virtual Present and Task 2.7 Existing Conditions Analysis - Insufficiently funded (over budget).

Tasks 2.6 (Existing Conditions Analysis and Modeling) and 2.7 (Trend Future) both fundamental elements on which Seven50 will be based, were initially budgeted in the amount of \$52,940.00 each (i.e. a total of \$105,880.00)

The initial assumption was that the Modeling would be conducted using the existing State Model (Florida Statewide Model).

Upon meeting with the region's six Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and FDOT, the agencies' experts concluded that the Statewide Model lacked granularity to perform acceptable projections. These agency experts requested that the Seven50 team use the MPOs model. This presented a challenge since Indian River, St. Lucie and Martin Counties use the GTCRPM model, Palm Beach, Broward and Miami-Dade's model use SERPEM and Monroe County was not modeled under any of these platforms. The Seven50 team was asked to model Monroe County using SERPEM, and to "stitch" the existing SERPEM and GTCRPC models.

At the time the above was agreed upon, the Socioeconomic data available to model the "Virtual Present" scenario was 2005 data. Given recent changes in socioeconomic data in the region, the RTTAC (MPOs and FDOT) requested that the 2005 socioeconomic data in the current models be replaced by 2010 census data. As a result, a new base year model had to be developed, calibrated, and validated for the year 2010. The original budget did not contemplate this change in the base data needed to be performed.

The RTTAC additionally requested the use of socioeconomic data developed by Miami Dade, Broward and Palm Beach MPOs for the 2040 year which was being prepared as the modeling effort for Seven50 was underway (as opposed to using the 2035 readily available data). This resulted in a number of iterations of model runs and coordination with the MPOs.

All these changes requested by the MPOs, FDOT and the RTTAC were not only valid, but critical to achieving a model that is endorsed and incorporated into these agencies' data. As a result, the region now has, for the first time, a unified transportation model that is agreed upon and available to all transportation agencies. Unfortunately, these three substantial changes resulted in unanticipated increase in the expense to complete this task in the amount of \$48,300.

The \$48,300 includes:

1. HDR Engineering, Inc.: \$25,000. See attached supplement entitled, "Task Order # 2 Supplement for HDR"
2. Rutgers University: \$18,200 (152 total hours) includes:

- a. Rutgers addition of the Monroe County to the SERPM 6.7 at the request of the RTTAC Modeling Subcommittee on March 29, 2012;
 - b. Rutgers use of the Southeast Florida Regional Planning Model (SERPM) and Greater Treasure Coast Regional Planning Model (GTCRPM) instead of the Florida Statewide Model as was originally envisioned;
 - c. Rutgers use of the Broward, Palm Beach and Miami-Dade MPO data delivered on 12-19-12 for inclusion of the model (3 months later than the RTTAC approved timeframe)
3. Dover, Kohl & Partners: \$5,100 (34 total hours) includes administration and model creation for the following tasks:
- a. Addition of the Monroe County to the SERPM 6.7 at the request of the RTTAC Modeling Subcommittee on March 29, 2012;
 - b. Use of the Southeast Florida Regional Planning Model (SERPM) and Greater Treasure Coast Regional Planning Model (GTCRPM) instead of the Florida Statewide Model as was originally envisioned;
 - c. Use of the Broward, Palm Beach and Miami-Dade MPO data delivered on 12-19-12 for inclusion of the model (3 months later than the RTTAC approved timeframe)

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee AGENDA ITEM #8
From: SEFRP Staff
Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting
Subject: Work Group Structure and Schedule

Introduction

The process to develop the Seven50 Regional Vision was designed to be public in nature. Input aimed at informing the plan is gathered through public participation in a series of meetings and workshops, and organized around the project's six work groups.

The initial Work Group Engagement Strategy, otherwise known as the "Work Group Road Show" approved by the Executive Committee to gather input, define regional and local priorities, and initiate regional values polling has been conducted and completed. Online forums have been an important venue to encourage participation.

As Seven50 transitions into a plan development phase, participation in the work groups becomes even more critical. A targeted effort to identify a core group of interested individuals committed to working with the Executive Committee members assigned to each work group through the development of Seven50 was conducted. Consortium members are being contacted to ensure their active participation in the upcoming meetings of the work groups (list of names to be provided at meeting). In addition, the FDOT established a Technical Resource Group to assist on an as-needed basis, each of the Work Groups. A staff member from both RPC's has been identified as the key point-of-contact for each work group.

Proposed Work Group Schedule and Format:

Staff, working in conjunction with the Consultant will conduct the following meetings of the work groups:

A. First Meeting – March 19, 2013 (day meeting)

1. Live (in person) meeting to review work group findings to date. This will include all input gathered during Summits 1 and 2, as well as input gathered during the seven meetings of the Work Group Road Show. The

- meeting will be held at FDOT offices (to be confirmed) and its satellite locations and broadcast via video conferencing.
2. Each work group will examine and suggest data set for data warehouse.
 3. Each work group will be led by the assigned/volunteer Executive Committee member/s.
 4. Status and work performed by each work group will be easily accessible online (Seven50 website).
 5. Workgroups will focus on establishing and defining priorities in the context of the concept of “Subsidiarity” (outcome of Summit #2 – **Attachment C**)
 6. Each work group will contribute to the development and completion of the proposed interactive Data Warehouse.
 7. Each work group will have a staff point of contact (RPC)
 8. Each work group will receive all the information (made available online) a week prior to the meeting.
 9. The Executive Committee members leading each work group may decide to hold additional meetings if they feel the discussion needs to be continued beyond this first meeting.
 10. Each work group discussion will be supported by the Technical Resource Group (List of names to be distributed during meeting).
 11. A list of the work group composition will be provided during the Executive Committee Meeting

B. First Meeting – March 26th, 2013 (evening meeting)

1. Staff will conduct an evening meeting (6:00pm to 9:00pm) to provide those who could not attend the day meeting of the work groups an opportunity to offer input. All issues addressed during the March 19th meeting will be addressed this day. Meeting will be at a single location, and broadcast via video-conferencing.

C. Second Meeting: Date TBD (May 2013)

1. Online meeting of the work groups (WebEx or Webinar) to review work and input provided in first meeting and through other outreach efforts as it is incorporated into the Seven50 plan.
2. All work will be made available online a week prior to the meeting.
3. During the day-long broadcasting session, each work group will meet for one hour consecutively to allow participants to attend more than one work group discussion.
4. NOTE: A series of specifically targeted outreach meetings organized by the Outreach committee working with staff and the consultant will be held between the first and second meetings.

5. Meeting will be recorded for those who cannot participate live in the discussion. A forum to provide input specific to this meeting will be set up on the Seven50 website.

D. Third Meeting – June 21st, 2013 (Summit #3)

1. Meeting of the work groups to discuss and select Alternate Scenario.
2. Presentation and/or interactive session of the work groups during the Summit to discuss the Alternate Scenarios.

Recommendation

Approve proposed format and schedule of the work groups for the Seven50 plan development phase.

Attachment.

ATTACHMENT C

Concept of Subsidiarity

SUBSIDIARITY: The theory of government which holds that decisions should be made by the smallest party that can competently make it

	DECISION 1	DECISION 2	DECISION 3	DECISION 4	DECISION 5	DECISION 6
INDIVIDUAL - (JOHN)	X					
HOUSEHOLD - (THE SMITH FAMILY)	X				X	
BLOCK - (A-436)				X		
INSTITUTION - (THE CHURCH)		X	X			
NEIGHBORHOOD - (OVERTOWN)			X			
MUNICIPALITY - (CITY OF MIAMI)		X				
COUNTY - (MIAMI-DADE)				X		
MEGA-REGION (SOUTHEAST FLORIDA)			X			
STATE - (FLORIDA)				X		
COUNTRY - (USA)				X		
PLANET - (EARTH)				X		

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee AGENDA ITEM #9
From: SEFRP Staff
Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting
Subject: Regional Values

Introduction

Task 3.4 guiding Regional Values Polling was reviewed and approved for execution by the Executive Committee in Action Plan #2. As part of this task, a survey was developed using the results of Task 2.2 (Survey and integrate Regional Values) and the Regional Indicators.

Polling addressing general regional values has been conducted throughout both Summits as well as during the Work Group Road Show. The poll/survey developed as part of Task 3.4 is currently being progressively conducted online, as established in the Action Plan. Questions are being posted in groups of 10, every three weeks. The first set of Regional Values questions was posted online on January 22, 2012 (<http://seven50.org/engage/participate-in-a-poll/>).

Staff is additionally working with Tri-Rail to conduct the same survey with train passengers. The results of all the polling efforts described will inform the development of the Seven50 plan.

During the February 1st Executive Committee Workshop, the Committee discussed the need to conduct an independent Regional Values poll. This poll is intended to independently determine community values across a wide and unbiased cross-section of the study region's population. The work already done during the Seven50 interviews, Summits and Work Groups can be used as the basis for the poll's questions. The Committee tasked staff and Ms. Carla Coleman with researching independent pollsters who might be appropriate to conduct such a poll for the Seven50 region.

Action

Ms. Coleman will present the preliminary findings relative to possible pollsters and probable cost.

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee AGENDA ITEM #10

From: SEFRP Staff

Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting

Subject: Outreach Strategy Update

Introduction

During the September 28, 2012 meeting, the Executive Committee approved a Public Outreach Strategy. This Outreach Strategy was designed to complement all outreach efforts conducted during Summits, work group meetings, as well as online, social media and mainstream press (TV, radio and newspapers). It is a strategy specifically aimed at reaching traditionally underrepresented populations and those who don't typically attend meetings of the type Seven50 is generating.

The main contract to perform these tasks was executed between Dover Kohl & Partners (Master Consultant) and EMERGE Consulting (sub consultant to the Master consultant). Since entering into this agreement, changes to Mr. Clarence Anthony's (president of EMERGE Consulting) employment status has required seeking an alternate consultant to perform the outlined tasks.

Mr. Carras' firm, Carras Community Investment Inc. is currently a sub consultant to the Master Consultant. His firm has performed the requirements of Task 2.5. Task 2.5 requires extensive public outreach, much of which overlaps with what is outlined in the approved Outreach Strategy. Per the Project Director's request, the Master Consultant is in the process of drafting an agreement with Jim Carras, president of Carras Community Investment, Inc. to become the lead consultant of Outreach Strategy.

Additionally, during the February 1st Workshop, the Executive Committee established an Outreach Subcommittee that will be chaired by Mr. Barrington White. Staff and the consultant will follow guidance and provide support to the Outreach Committee as needed.

Action

For information only.

SOUTHEAST FLORIDA REGIONAL PARTNERSHIP

To: SCI Executive Committee AGENDA ITEM #11
From: SEFRP Staff
Date: March 1, 2013 Meeting
Subject: Financial Update

Introduction

Financial information in this update reflects expenses for both Councils and the Consultant. Detailed expenses are reflected in the monitoring chart (attached).

Financial Update

- Expenditures for SFRPC for the pay periods of October, November and December, 2012 were for a total amount of \$95,196.04.
- Expenses to date for SFRPC are \$824,271.35 amounting to 66% of SFRPC's total budget (at month 19 of 36 of the work schedule).
- Expenditures for TCRPC for the pay period of September, October, November and December 2012 were for a total amount of \$109,563.90.
- Expenses to date for TCRPC are \$386,695.90 amounting to 67.8% of TCRPC's total budget (at month 18 of 36 of the work schedule).
- Expenses by the Master Consultant for this period amount to \$185,700.71.
- Expenses to date for the Master Consultant are \$878,206.77 amounting to 38.5% of the Consultant's total budget.

April Forward		SFRPC MONITORING												TCRPC MONITORING												CONSULTANT MONITORING									
Task	Proportion of Total	HUD	Amended Grant	Expended April	Expended May	Expended June	Expended July	Exp. August	Exp. September	Exp. October/November	YTD Amended Budget	YTD %	YTD TOTAL*	YTD % To Date	Amended	Expended April	Expended May	Expended June	Expended July	Exp. August	Exp. September	Exp. October	Exp. November	YTD Amended Budget	YTD %	Total Allocation	Expended Thru 10/14/12	Expenditure thru 10/15/12	Expenditure thru 12/31/2012	Estimated % of Task Completed					
Detailed Work Plan Budget with Tasks and Sub Tasks	\$4,250,000	\$698,691	\$14,393	\$29,232	\$28,541	\$49,510	\$28,653	\$30,196	\$65,000	\$158,861	22.7%	\$729,075	58.3%	\$388,380	\$5,711	\$6,222	\$25,375	\$29,383	\$28,820	\$33,093	\$23,132	\$35,394	\$368,749.80	64.7%	\$2,430,000	\$528,055	\$164,451	\$185,701	39.0%						
Project Management																																			
Task M1 Project Director	\$432,351.00	\$123,825.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,375.00	\$7,125.00	\$7,125.00	\$7,125.00	\$7,125.00	\$32,625	28.8%	\$161,059	64.7%	\$123,825.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00										
Task M2 Partnership Coordinator/HUD Point of Contact	\$554,788.00	\$87,818.00	\$5,766.49	\$5,766.49	\$5,794.49	\$5,766.49	\$5,766.49	\$5,766.49	\$5,766.49	\$11,532.98	39.4%	\$148,528	168.1%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00										
Task M4 General Administration**	\$420,127.00	\$153,035.00	\$8,479.01	\$23,465.27	\$11,900.33	\$10,163.11	\$15,256.00	\$17,164.00	\$40,220.00	\$86,520	34.2%	\$165,423	43.4%	\$94,447.34	\$1,590.33	\$1,745.00	\$12,086	\$11,423.00	\$15,280.00	\$18,130.00	\$6,235.00	\$10,792.00	\$55,316.19	69.2%	\$0.00										
Task M5 Travel	\$27,344.00	\$18,212.78	\$147.76	\$0.00	\$1,171.72	\$129.97	\$505.34	\$140.44	\$6,122.51	\$2,089	11.5%	\$10,000.00	\$8,209	\$8,80	\$464.75	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,341.42	13.4%	\$0.00								
TOTAL Project Management	29.0%	\$1,234,500	\$482,691	\$14,393	\$29,232	\$18,959	\$37,429	\$28,653	\$30,196	\$65,000	\$158,861	32.9%	\$476,699	55.1%	\$231,380	\$4,956.19	\$1,843.80	\$21,495.86	\$19,012.75	\$22,405.00	\$25,255.00	\$13,756.62	\$18,240.05	\$126,965.27	54.9%	\$0									
Scoping Phase																																			
Task S1 Governance, Organization	\$6,375.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$0.00												\$0.00										
Task S2 Work Plan Development	\$6,375.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$0.00												\$0.00										
Task S3 Consortium Agreements	\$12,750.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$0.00												\$0.00										
Task S4 Stakeholder Identification	\$12,750.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$0.00												\$0.00										
Task S5 Form and Operationalize Work Groups and Committees	\$12,750.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$2,500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$750.00	\$200.00	\$350.00	\$0.00	\$200.00	\$0.00	\$2,500.00	100.0%	\$0.00											
Task S6 Initiate Early Stages of Activities	\$12,750.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$0.00												\$0.00										
Task S7 Develop RFP(s)	\$93,750.00	\$0.00									\$0	100.0%	\$0.00												\$0.00										
TOTAL Scoping Phase	3.0%	\$127,500	\$0								\$0	100.0%	\$2,500	\$500	\$500	\$750	\$200	\$350	\$0	\$200	\$0	\$2,500	100.0%	\$0											
Task 1 Build Collaborative Partnerships																																			
Task 1.1 Continue Developing Regional Partnership	\$51,000.00	\$28,000.00	\$0.00								\$0	60.0%	\$4,500.00	\$254.38	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,954.38	87.9%	\$0.00										
Task 1.2 Identify Opportunities to Enhance Collaboration	\$51,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00								\$0	41.0%	\$1,500.00	\$732.08	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,700.08	100.0%	\$0.00										
Task 1.3 Identify Needs for Coordination	\$51,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00								\$0	60.0%	\$1,500.00	\$543.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,543.00	87.9%	\$0.00										
Task 1.4 Strategies for Regional Collaboration	\$51,000.00	\$28,000.00	\$0.00								\$0	63.0%	\$8,000.00	\$500.00	\$1,320.00	\$1,430.00	\$0.00	\$1,575.00	\$1,325.00	\$6,150.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	76.9%	\$0.00									
Task 1.5 Expand Participation	\$51,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$0.00								\$0	63.0%	\$8,000.00	\$500.00	\$1,250.00	\$1,050.00	\$1,250.00	\$725.00	\$795.00	\$475.00	\$1,050.00	\$6,045.00	\$6,045.00	\$6,045.00	76.6%	\$0.00									
TOTAL Task 1	6.0%	\$255,000	\$136,000	\$0	\$9,582	\$6,081					\$82,000	37.6%	\$31,500	\$254	\$3,354	\$1,250	\$5,670	\$4,055	\$1,663	\$4,600	\$3,375	\$24,221.11	76.9%	\$0											
Task 2 Regional Resource Library and Scorecard	\$0.00										\$0.00		\$3,000.00		\$224.56	\$1,879.54			\$516.00		\$3,000.10		100.0%		\$19,975.00	\$19,975.00	\$0.00								
Task 2.1 National Research	\$22,975.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$3,000.00		\$224.56	\$1,879.54			\$516.00		\$3,000.10		100.0%												
Task 2.2 Survey and Integrate Regional Values	\$31,180.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00												\$31,180.00	\$25,132.20	\$6,047.80	\$0.00							
Task 2.3 Data Warehouse	\$79,900.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00													\$79,900.00	\$63,920.00	\$3,995.00	\$0.00						
Task 2.4 Regional Forecasts	\$13,975.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00													\$13,975.00	\$10,750.75	\$3,224.25	85.0%						
Task 2.5 Regional Housing Assessment	\$86,750.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00													\$86,750.00	\$54,300.25	\$14,442.75	\$0.00						
Task 2.6 Virtual Present	\$55,453.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00													\$55,453.00	\$31,943.25	\$14,442.75	\$0.00						
Task 2.7 Existing Conditions Analysis and Modeling	\$131,360.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$5,000.00												\$131,360.00	\$63,180.00	\$25,272.00	\$10,324.40	\$118,773.40	94.0%					
Task 2.8 Trend Future	\$59,655.50	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$5,000.00												\$59,655.50	\$0.00	\$21,862.00	\$16,396.50	\$18,219.50	70.0%					
Task 2.9 Model Trend	\$43,960.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00													\$43,960.00	\$0.00	\$17,584.00	\$13,186.00	\$10,772.00	70.0%				
Task 2.10 Develop Range of Regional Indicators for Scorecard	\$25,600.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$2,500.00												\$25,600.00	\$1,225.00	\$6,930.00	\$4,620.00	\$6,930.00	80.0%					
Task 2.11 Select Indicators for Scorecard and Review with Executive Committee	\$1,191.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$0.00												\$1,191.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00	16.8%					
Task 2.12 Integrate Scorecard Indicators in Modeling Efforts	\$10,000.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$10,000.00												\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%					
Task 2.13 Ongoing Monitoring Program	\$10,000.00	\$0.00									\$0.00		\$10,000.00												\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%					
TOTAL Task 2	13.0%	\$552,500	\$0								\$0		\$25,500	\$0	\$525	\$1,880			\$5,450.00	\$3,075.00	\$2,606.00	\$13,335.10	\$51.51	\$52,000	\$262,388	\$101,008	\$96,965	\$460,360.70	87.4%						

